



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,857	09/24/2003	Carl F. Miles	684.04	4404
7:	590 04/05/2005		EXAM	INER
Richard C. Co			LUBY, MA	TTHEW D
Bozeman, MT 59771			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3611	
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/669,857	MILES, CARL F.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Matt Luby	3611			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replored for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 J	lanuary 2005				
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□						
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
D '	·					
	ion of Claims	•				
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.					
5-3	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	Claim(s) <u>8-14</u> is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
_						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.				
10)🖂	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 January 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority i	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
_	•	n priority under 35 I I S C & 110/a	a) (d) or (f)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:						
aj	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	<u> </u>		ion No			
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
			ed III tilis Hational Otage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
`	and analysis asians serios asion for a no	2 22 as as place not receive	 -			
Λ -	*/a\					
Attachmen	ut(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	((PTO 413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) [_] interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	r (F 10-413) Pate			
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-7 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,626,448. This is a double patenting rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 8-14 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

3, Applicant's arguments filed 01/04/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's main argument is that since claims 1-7 of the present application were amended to change the word "operator" (from U.S. Patent No. 6,626,448) to

Art Unit: 3611

"user", that these claims cannot now be rejected under 35 U.S.C. section 101 as claiming the same invention.

Firstly, the word "user" appears only in the preamble of Applicant's claims as part of a recitation of how the <u>apparatus</u> is *intended to be used*. A recitation directed to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be used toes not distinguish the claimed apparatus from the prior art - if the prior has the capability to so perform.

MPEP 2114 and *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). Claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,626,448 are identical in every way, shape and form to claims 1-7 of the present application except as to this intended use recitation.

Secondly, the very structural recitation of the claimed limitations taken in conjunction with the teaching of the specification and drawings show that there is only one disclosed exhaust pipe protector and footrest. This is not some case where there are multiple locations of footrests and exhaust pipe protectors. The exact same structure is disclosed in 6,626,448 and claims 1-7 of the present application. The only difference, whatsoever, is who the intended person is who will "use it". This is not a patentably distinguishing type of feature of an apparatus claim because there is no way to quantify and define who the user of the apparatus is going to be. It could be the driver of the motorcycle. It could be a second passenger. It could be a third passenger. It could even be a person not even seated on the motorcycle. None of these "intended users" are patentably definitive to grant separate patents for the various users. Without some structure patentably defining different scopes, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. section 101 must be maintained.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,857 Page 4

Art Unit: 3611

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matt Luby whose telephone number is (571) 272-6648. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on (571) 272-6612. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3611

ation/Control Number: 10/005,00

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matt Luby Examiner Art Unit 3611

M.I. March 30, 2005